# Monday, April 8, 2019 6:47 pm
# Immediately Following Local Board of Appeal
## CITY OF MINNETONKA BEACH
## CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES

**Roll Call:** Mayor Jaci Lindstrom; Council Members: Tracey Breazeale, Susan Enlow, Steve Howarth, Andrew Myers and Treasurer Chris Zinn. Absent: none

**Staff Present:** City Administrator Susanne Griffin, City Clerk Heidi Honey, and City Engineer Darren Amundsen

**Guests**: State Senator David Osmek; Jim Lundberg, Lake Minnetonka Communications Commission; Long Lake Fire Chief James Van Eyll.

1. **Mayor Lindstrom called the meeting to order at 6:47 p.m.**

2. **Clerk Honey led the Pledge of Allegiance.**

3. **Approve Agenda for the April 8, 2019 City Council Meeting.**

**A motion was made by Council Member Enlow and seconded by Council Member Howarth to approve the agenda. With all members voting in favor, the motion carried.**

4. **Approve Minutes –**
   4.1. Regular Meeting of March 11, 2019
   Amended minutes with a clarification on page four were handed out. Howarth discussed the Financial Management Plan with Zinn and asked that the two items he thought they agreed to have amended in the report be added to the minutes. The first is that the city zero based its budget and did not budget for an overage and the second is that Option 3 would include the cost of capital requirements for a storage facility. Howarth then read from page three of the minutes "Howarth asked Ehlers to look at Option 3 from a total economic value with all variables included (rehab current facility or build a new water tower)". Enlow stated that the minutes reflect that Ehlers will update the report for the Finance Committee. Honey will listen to the audio and bring the minutes back to the May 13 council meeting.

**A motion was made by Council Member Myers and seconded by Council Member Enlow to table the March 11, 2019 Regular City Council meeting minutes. With all members voting in favor, the motion carried.**

5. **Police Report**
   5.1. Police Report – March 2019
   Sgt. Sonnek reviewed the March police report which consisted of 16 calls for service including four alarms, three medicals, three crashes, and one DWI arrest. There was an attempted burglary and the burglar was scared off by an alarm. It is being investigated with other burglaries in Orono that seem to be related. He went on to say that solicitors are in the area and residents should call 911 if they see someone soliciting without a permit or any suspicious activity. If a resident does not want to ask a solicitor if they have a permit, they should call 911 and the police will check. Griffin stated

that solicitors are often from out of state and have warrants for their arrest. Council asked that they receive crime alerts in the future for things like the burglary.

## 6. Open Forum

Long Lake Fire Chief James Van Eyll invited everyone to their pancake breakfast on Sunday at Station One which is located at 340 Willow Drive.

## 7. Guest Speakers

### 7.1. Minnesota State Senator David Osmek

Senator Osmek reviewed two bills currently in process. The first is SF2807 which would potentially change the formula for cities to qualify for Local Government Aid (LGA). The city does not receive LGA but the new formula may qualify it for up to $26,000. The other bill is SF75, which is his distracted driving bill. The bill requires distracted driving to be part of driver's education curriculum. It significantly raises fines and provides for suspension of licenses for multiple violations.

Senator Osmek stated that PFA grants may be available to the city for assistance with water tower, sewer and water projects. In addition to that, the city could also ask him to put in a bonding request for the next bonding cycle for assistance with water and sewer projects.

He then discussed his bill SF1691 which limits the LMCD's jurisdiction to the water line so they would not have jurisdiction on land areas including storage or activity at marinas. He believes that cities should have authority to govern their land. He does not believe the LMCD should have authority to regulate parking, storage and density of storage at marinas.

Howarth asked about potential bonding opportunities for the city. Senator Osmek explained that the city could be part of a bond package. It would request an amount and, if a check is issued, the city would not have the debt and would not be responsible for paying it back, so it works like a grant. There may be a fund match requirement depending on the request. Bonding is done in even years so it would wait until next year. To place a request, Senator Osmek would need to know the amount needed and what it will be used for. He recommended asking for the water tower and water treatment at the same time because it may be more efficient. The PFA project priority list deadline is May 3 so the city should consider bonding.

Howarth asked the senator if he has asked the LMCD about their opposition of bill SF1691. Osmek received two letters from them and spoke with a number of mayors and a member of the LMCD. He stated that the LMCD believes it is in the best interest of the lake that they continue to have consistent authority over the lake. Osmek disagrees with some of the LMCD's assumptions, and also questions why they have not done a density study. If the bill passes, cities could have the authority to regulate density with dry stacking. Myers states that increased density affects traffic on the entire lake. He questioned why the 14 cities were not informed about the bill. Osmek believes in city's rights. Howarth expressed concerns about the support of the bill by commercial marina owners and a potential increase of the number of boats on the lake from dry stacking. Osmek disagreed stating there could be a decrease in the number of boats and his concern is the LMCD regulating land. Myers would like to see what the other 14 communities council's think. He would also like time to look at it and provide support or not provide support. Osmek stated that the council can send a letter of what they appreciate or do not appreciate about the bill. Howarth then discussed dry stacking increases and fees. Osmek does not think dry stacking would increase.

Mayor Lindstrom thanked Senator Osmek for attending the meeting and said the city will be in touch about the bonding. She asked that he advocate for the city in the legislature. She stated that small cities need money for streets and infrastructure, and she hopes he can help the city. Senator Osmek agreed that small cities need assistance and don't qualify for assistance that larger cities do.

7.2. **Lake Minnetonka Communications Commission – Jim Lundberg, Operations Manager**
Mr. Lundberg explained that the LMCC oversees franchise agreements with the cable operator, assists with customer complaints about cable/internet services, and provides Public, Educational and Government (PEG) programing such as meetings and events. The LMCC is funded by franchise and PEG fees. They provide A/V support for member cities and Minnetonka Beach has $1,300 available to spend by Dec. 31, 2019. In 2020, they will be installing cameras and a presentation system at no cost for recording meetings for member cities. Mr. Lundberg also explained that the FCC is looking for input on how franchise fees and peg fees are used.

Mayor Lindstrom expressed interest in a monitor for meeting presentations. Mr. Lundberg will work with city staff and vendors to determine what is needed and the costs. He then discussed video recording city meetings. The LMCC would record two meetings per month for no cost to the city and the LMCC would provide all necessary equipment at each meeting.

**A motion was made by Council Member Howarth and seconded by Council Member Myers to engage with the LMCC to explore and/or enact recording of two meetings per month with one a council meeting and the other to be determined. With all members voting in favor, the motion carried.**

Mr. Lundberg will work with staff on details and he may come before council one more time before they set up the recording system. The city can choose different meetings for the second meeting if it works with scheduling a contractor.

7.3. **Long Lake Fire Chief James Van Eyll**
Chief Van Eyll reported that in their first three months of serving the city, there have been ten calls for service for 100 hours. Mayor Lindstrom stated that their response time to the recent grass fire was very quick. Chief Van Eyll gave a quick history of Station 2. They are currently in the process of hiring additional fire fighters. Long Lake Fire is heavily involved in the community.

## 8. Old Business

8.1. Resolution 2019 – 20 2019 Infrastructure Project Plans and Authorize Construction Bidding
Engineer Amundsen reported that nearly all of the plans are 100% complete. He reviewed the project schedule in the packet including advertisements for bids being published April 13, contractor bids are due May 7, awarding the project on May 13, and construction projected to begin May 27. Stantec will finalize permitting, easements, and coordination of moving the service lines with Arcola and Woodbridge. The project cost estimate is $2,090,000 plus an additional 16% for engineering. The advertisement for bids will be published in the Laker Pioneer on April 13, in Finance & Commerce on April 10, and on Quest CDN. Amundsen stated that because Westwood and Brooks have the most easements and the most risk of an easement falling through, the city can choose to take a base bid or a base bid plus an alternate during the bid process.

**A motion was made by Council Member Howarth and seconded by Council Member Enlow to adopt Resolution 2019 –20 Approving Plans and Specifications and Authorizing Advertisement**

**for Bids for 2019 Infrastructure Improvement Project. With all members voting in favor, the motion carried.**

8.2. Northview Wall Bid Proposal

Engineer Amundsen inspected the west Northview Wall and found it to be structurally sound. It does not need engineering, there is no deterioration of the road from drainage issues, and it does not need to be reconstructed at this time. He recommended restacking two spots that are falling down and it could be cleaned but power washing may dislodge dirt behind the wall. The wall could be considered for replacement in the future when Northview Road is rehabilitated.

Amundsen then discussed the east Northview wall. The project is the same as previously proposed in 2018 but the quote package is updated for 2019. This proposal includes updating the quote package to reconstruct the retaining wall and address drainage at the edge of the road. Estimates for construction services are also included for budgeting purposes. The proposal is for an hourly fee not to exceed $3,500 through receiving quotes and $6,300 for construction services for a total of $9,800. Amundsen also reviewed the estimated schedule with a tentative completion date of September 20.

The city budgeted $85,000 for the work and engineering costs were not included. The city could have the contractor bid on restacking the west wall after or the city could have someone else do it. Howarth asked why the fee is higher than last year. Amundsen stated that he spent considerably more time than he charged the city for last year and he will spend a similar amount of time this year. Last year, the proposal was for $7,200 ($2,000 for receiving quotes and $5,200 for construction quotes). Amundsen stated that there could be some saving if this project happens at the same time as the other projects but he does not have control over when contractors are available.

**A motion was made by Council Member Howarth to authorize Engineer Amundsen to proceed with the bidding process for the east Northview Wall project and to give Amundsen the flexibility on timing so that he gains the efficiencies he spoke about relative to the staffing synergies that he might have relative to the completion of the activities and to limit the fees to $7,200 which was the quote a year ago.**

Amundsen stated that he could work with this if there is another project going on at the same time but he does not have control over that. He will come back to council for further discussion if this becomes a stand-alone project.

**The motion was seconded by Enlow.**

Enlow asked who would do work on the west wall. Amundsen stated that Berent was going to request quotes from contractors he knows and the city can also have contractors who quote on the east wall quote this also. The two projects are very different projects and may require different contractors. The east wall is a very extensive and the west wall is a minor maintenance issue.

**With all members voting in favor, the motion carried.**

8.3. Access Paths to Raised Median Bid Proposal

Engineer Amundsen reviewed the proposal for a five-foot wide gravel path in the same location as previously proposed. Plan sets including final erosion control and stormwater design were not

produced in the past and are required for permitting. Amundsen anticipates having construction quotes for council to review on June 10. The proposal is hourly not to exceed $12,900. Council discussed that the $45,000 budgeted for the paths does not include engineering fees. Amundsen stated that this is considered a stand-alone project but there could be savings if it is done at the same time as the other projects. Council agreed that this work should be done as soon as possible for the county to do the striping. Based on wet ground conditions, Amundsen does not think the paths can go in earlier than the end of June.

**A motion was made by Council Member Howarth and seconded by Council Member Enlow to authorize Amundsen to pursue the scope of services as stated in the proposal dated April 4 with the addition of the actual bidding process being conducted, and to come back to council with the results of the bidding process at the June council meeting, for an amount not to exceed $12,900. With all members voting in favor, the motion carried.**

**9. New Business**

9.1. Dock issues: Complaint and Subsequent Responses, Dock Permit Applications: Rezabek, Frangipane

An anonymous complaint was submitted that does not provide evidence but alleges the dock slip holders of 13-1 (Rezabek) and 16-1 (Frangipane) of not being the actual users of the docks. Griffin stated that the dock ordinance allows for appeals. Although the complaint was anonymous, the city attorney concurred with Griffin that the complaint should be reviewed and the parties should be allowed to respond. Letters were sent to the individuals and their responses are in the packet. Griffin stated that it is up to council on how they want to deal with the issue. Lindstrom said the intent of the ordinance is that the city docks are for the enjoyment of the city's residents.

Council discussed the Frangipane complaint. They are alleged of not being the actual user of the slip and the boat is not registered in their name. The ordinance does not require water craft to be registered in the resident's name but that is how the city determines that the boat in the slip belongs to the resident and how the ordinance has been interpreted. Frangipanes will be providing an updated registration of joint ownership. In the past, if a resident is listed as an owner on the registration, it is acceptable. Frangipane's response states that they use the boat and their children also use the boat. Lindstrom stated that some long-term residents are not as confident driving their boats as they age and family members often drive them. Enlow brought up issues with liability and ownership. Myers discussed that the permit must benefit members of the household but the language is confusing and he suggested that the Dock Committee provide input.

Council then discussed the Rezabek complaint. Rezabek shares co-ownership of her boat, she carries the insurance, and her family has been using that slip for 50 years. She logged 75 to 90 hours on the boat and feels that qualifies her as a user but she does not drive it. The city is family oriented and she provided specific language updates to the ordinance to reflect that.

Howarth and Breazeale stated that the residents are co-owners and they use the boats. Howarth is struggling to see where there is a violation under the current ordinance. The ordinance does not require dock usage by a "sole" or "primary" user. Council members agreed that a clarification of language is needed and it should be reviewed by the Dock Committee. Breazeale believes this specific complaint should be reviewed under the current ordinance. Enlow suggested seeing what other communities dock ordinances say. Breazeale stated that it will be impossible to police who is using a boat every time it goes out.

Lindstrom summarized the conversation that Myers would like the Dock Committee to review the complaints on April 18 and make a recommendation as well as possibly provide a clarification of language for Council on April 23, and two council members are seeing enough evidence to approve the two applications for renewal. Enlow agreed that under the current ordinance under which these complaints have been made, both boats are titled in the residents' names and the applicants are complying with the current ordinance. Mayor Lindstrom asked for a motion.

Ward Myers, Dock Committee member, spoke and stated the biggest issue is who uses the boat. He does not think that the resident is the primary user in this case. Howarth stated that the ordinance does not state "primary" user, it states "actual" user. Howarth stated that Rezabek is the permit holder and she is a co-owner. Myers said Section 906(B) states the permit holder is immediate family residing at the real property in the city. Howarth would be willing to have a special council meeting but, there is no violation. All council members agreed that revoking dock rights is a big issue. Breazeale would need a lot more documentation than an anonymous complaint to revoke dock rights. Howarth is amenable to input from the Dock Committee but right now he certainly does not see a violation under the current ordinance. Rezabek stated that if her boat was at a different slip, there may be much less concern about her use of it and all residents need to be treated equally.

**A motion was made by Council Member Breazeale and seconded by Council Member Enlow to ask the Dock Committee to review the dock ordinance and how to make the intent clearer and to give their recommendation on whether these two dock holders are in compliance with the ordinances as they are currently written.**

Myers stated that the Dock Committee has not discussed this and input from committees is important to him. Enlow suggested that if the Dock Committee does not think there is a violation under the current ordinance, they should take time to make a thoughtful review of the current ordinance so that recommendation would not need to be done by April 23.

**Howarth amended the motion to add notifying both of the individuals that the complaint will be discussed at the Dock Committee on April 18 and Council meeting on April 23. Enlow seconded the amendment to the motion.**

**With all members voting in favor, the motion and the Howarth amendment carried.**

**A motion was made by Council Member Enlow and seconded by Council Member Breazeale to call a special City Council meeting after the Local Board of Appeal on April 23. With all members voting in favor, the motion carried.**

9.2. Capital Improvement Program Schedule and Process for 2020-2024 CIP

Administrator Griffin reviewed the Planning Commission process and schedule for the 2020 – 2024 Capital Improvement Program (CIP). She recommended establishing a Capital Planning Review Committee consisting of chairs of the Planning Commission, Finance Committee, Utilities Commission, Park Commission, key staff, and chaired by the Treasurer to review the draft CIP prior to the Planning Commission hearing. She also reviewed the CIP draft guidelines in the packet. The minimum CIP request cannot be raised from $2,500 based on the Capital Asset Finance policy.

Howarth suggested requiring accurate estimates or a basis for the cost for requested items. Griffin asked council members to return completed CIP forms to her by April 29.

**A motion was made by Council Member Myers and seconded by Council Member Howarth to accept the Capital Improvement Program schedule and process for 2020-2024. With all members voting in favor, the motion carried.**

9.3. Council Liaison Roles and Responsibilities Policy Review
Mayor Lindstrom wrote the original policy and drafted the proposed edits. The policy is reviewed every two years. Myers thinks they should attend meetings and provide clarification if needed. Mayor Lindstrom reminded council that as a liaison, they are at the meetings as a listener unless they are asked to provide input.

**A motion was made by Council Member Howarth and seconded by Council Member Breazeale to adopt the Roles and Responsibilities of Council Liaisons as amended. With all members voting in favor, the motion carried.**

**10. Consent Agenda**

10.1. Fire Report – March
10.2. Resolution 2019 – 21 - 2019 Dock Permit Applications
10.3. Resolution 2019 – 22 – 2019 Dock Variances
10.4. LMCD Application for Minor Reconfiguration of Dock 16, 17-2, 19-3, 19-4 and 7-1
10.5. Life's a Beach – Beach Cleaning Contract
10.6. The Garden Gate – Gardening Contract
10.7. Bartlett Tree Service - Crabapple Treatment Contract
10.8. Safe Assure Contract – Safety Program
10.9. 2019 – 23 Post Issuance Debt Compliance Policy and Procedure
10.10. Approve Quality Flow Lift Station Improvements Proposal (budgeted)

Mayor Lindstrom stated that the lift station bids came in $10,400 lower than budgeted in the CIP. The two permits discussed earlier are not being approved as part of the list and are not being denied. Howarth would like to see all the bids Berent receives for large projects like the lift station improvements in the future. Griffin will send them to council.

**A motion was made by Council Member Myers and seconded by Council Member Howarth to approve the consent agenda. With all members voting in favor, the motion carried.**

**11. Treasurer's Report**

11.1. Approve Checks: April

**A motion was made by Council Member Myers and seconded by Council Member Breazeale to approve 47 checks numbered 17185 through 17231 in the amount of $101,668.80 for April 2019 expenses. With all members voting in favor, the motion carried.**

11.2. YTD Budget to Actual Report and Prior Year Comparison, Investment Statements
Zinn stated that revenues are where they should be. New computers were approved at the last meeting. Griffin is doing a good job segregating and tracking expenses for the water projects.

11.3. Outstanding Accounts Receivable

**12.** Action Notes and Staff Reports

12.1. Council Meeting Action Notes

Council reviewed the Action Notes and made the following updates:

- Update Northview wall
- Northview wall east has been assessed.
- Pottebaum request – Council needs to give them permission to drive on the fire lane to Dock 11 and to work with Amundsen, Griffin and Myers on accessibility options. The city can issue a special permit for parking. Myers will discuss parking with Pottebaum's and find out if they intend to park on the road or fire lane.

**A motion was made by Council Member Howarth and seconded by Council Member Enlow to allow Pottebaum's to drive on the fire lane to Dock 11 and to park there when utilizing that privilege. With all members voting in favor, the motion carried.**

- 2019 Infrastructure tree impacts – complete
- LMCC video recording – complete
- Abatement order – Griffin compiled a list of vendors and talked with the police chief regarding their potential involvement. A vendor wants a more detailed list of work to be done because some of it is subjective. Griffin is refining the task list, but she may need assistance from the building official. She also wants to talk with the family to see if Mr. Burak is there.

Zinn asked about the purpose of the joint Finance and Utilities meeting. Finance will make recommendations on the amount of reserves to use and the amount to bond. Howarth stated that Utilities would give Finance their view of future capital needs over the next few years and they would also review the bid packages for the infrastructure improvements. Zinn discussed using the FMP versus a point in time assessment of reserves.

12.2. Public Works Superintendent Report – In Packet.

Berent is requesting an alarm dialer for the water treatment plant for $2,500. If water pressure drops or there is a power or well failure, Berent will be alerted. He would also like to run emergency power from lift station 1 so he does not have to drive on the Lafayette Club turf and cart path with a generator. It would cost approximately $2,500.

**A motion was made by Council Member Howarth and seconded by Council Member Enlow to authorize Berent to pursue the competition of the generator hook up and alarm dialer system as outlined in his report. With all members voting in favor, the motion carried.**

12.3. Clerk's Report – no additional report

12.4. Administrator's Report

Griffin will be attending the MN Cities Managers Association Conference May 1-3. The access ramp to the steps on Shoreline Drive was hit by a drunk driver. She filed a claim with the insurance company and Berent is scheduling the repair which will cost approximately $1,500. The city has an easement for the stairs. They are used for easier access to the docks on the other side. Griffin also stated that the Republic Services contract ends this year. Council wants to bid it out.

13. **Council Reports**

13.1. Mayor's Report:

Mayor Lindstrom attended the police commission meeting. They continue to provide great service and quick response times to Minnetonka Beach. The Tanager Bridge will close this fall for one year for repair. Arcola Bridge maintenance is scheduled for 2020.

The Step to It fitness campaign begins on May 1. The community and Council are invited to join Mayor Lindstrom on the steps of City Hall on May 1 at 6:30 pm to kick off the challenge. Register and log steps online at www.steptoit.org.

Lindstrom stated that mediation was scheduled with Schussler's, Hoeft's, the LMCD and the city for the dock slip issue. The Hoeft's declined to participate so mediation did not take place. If alternative dock plans are submitted, the city, LMCD and Schussler's may be asked to participate in mediation.

Amundsen and Lindstrom met with the Bob and Kim Johnson regarding their two properties on Brooks Lane. They took video and photos of runoff from the snow melt and Johnson's were asked to submit a formal request for stormwater management. Council discussed the city policy regarding who is responsible. Amundsen explained the difficulties of the situation and recommended that the city consult with the city attorney.

Lindstrom referred to the draft letter in the packet addressed to Representative Hornstein. The letter was not sent and she asked council's permission to send it. Council supported sending it. She will send the letter.

13.2. Liaison Reports (if necessary):

- Enlow – The Planning Commission is working on reviewing the transportation study from 2016. They are recommending extending permit parking at the beach to 2505 Lafayette Rd. There will be a public input session at the April 22 meeting.
- Zinn – The Finance Committee focused on familiarizing themselves with the FMP for future discussions. He thinks they can determine the amount needed for projects this year without having the full ten-year FMP fully complete. Howarth discussed determining the gross amount of bond funding needed and the revenue sources for servicing the debt before they go through next year's budget process.
- Howarth – The Park Commission efficiently approved a number of contracts, reviewed the CIP for the next five years, and will be evaluating all of the hard and green assets in the city as part of their five-year plan. The Utilities Commission reviewed the 2019 infrastructure project and the 2020 projects relative to the three options in Ehlers report. Ehlers has been provided that updated evaluations. The cost of rehabbing the tower is closer to the cost of a new tower that previously thought. They looked at the pavement management program and made CIP requests of $700,000 for 2020 and $54,000 for the pavement management study.
- Myers – The dock reconfiguration was approved tonight and Sharma's will take the spot pending approval by the LMCD. He recommended that council members attend the April 18 Dock Committee meeting and let Griffin know if they are going to attend so she can notice a quorum. Myers went on to discuss Senator Osmek and the LMCD. He expressed concerns about the legislation and that the 14 affected communities were not contacted about it. He asked if council wants to send a letter in support or not in support of the bill. Howarth stated that he is in full support of the LMCD and a letter should have gone to the cities and the

LMCD requesting their input and notifying them of the public hearings. He believes that proponents of the bill have a conflict of interest. He also said that the LMCD cares about the safety and use of Lake Minnetonka and he expressed concerns about dry stacks increasing the number of boats on the lake. Howarth suggested that Council offer a vote of full support of the comments made by Vickie Schleuning and the LMCD. Myers suggested asking Osmek to delay the process and go to every community to get input from them. Howarth recommended that council write to Osmek and to their legislators and say that the bill needs to be further vetted in the communities. It has great impact on the lake and it doesn't have the support that the legislator suggests that it has.

Mayor Lindstrom reminded council that they were going to ask Senator Osmek for bonding for water projects. He is on powerful committees and he may decide to not advocate for the city if they send the letter. She asked that they take that into consideration. Howarth stated that is a fair consideration but the safety and use of the lake is much more precious to the community. Myers added that it would show what type of person Osmek is if he changed his mind on bonding assistance. Myers stated that adding more access to the lake lowers the value of having a lakeshore property. Myers suggested sending a request to delay the process until the city has time to look at it and provide input and hopefully the other cities would want to do so as well.

**A motion was made by Council Member Howarth and seconded by Council Member Myers to send a letter to legislators and to the LMCD giving full support of the LMCD, their mission, and their opposition to this bill. He added that every mayor from the 14 cities be copied on the letter of their full support.**

Enlow asked if there are any co-sponsors to the bill because a letter should be sent to those people as well. Howarth stated there is a companion bill in the House and the letter will be sent to them as well. The Mayor will draft and work with staff to send the letter.

**With all members voting in favor, the motion carried.**

- Breazeale – Newcomers event was April 7. The next big event is the Progressive Dinner on May 18. Host houses are needed.

**14. Adjourn**

**A motion was made by Council Member Howarth and seconded by Council Member Enlow to adjourn the meeting. With all members voting in favor, the motion carried.**

**The meeting adjourned at 11:08 pm.**

**PUBLIC IN ATTENDANCE –** The following people signed the attendance sheet: Jenny Johnson, Jim Lundberg, Nell Mathews, Senator David Osmek, Joann Anderson, Ward Myers, Sue & Paul Kozloski, Todd Pottebaum, Patty Rezabek, and Craig Moriarty. Not signed in:

**Respectfully submitted,**

_____

**Heidi Honey, City Clerk**